

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,476	BACKER ET AL.
	Examiner Emily Bernhardt	Art Unit 1624

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Emily Bernhardt. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Myers. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,30 and 23 in particular

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In reviewing the after final amendment, it was pointed out that R1a as H has been re-inserted into the claims. As such the amendment would not be enterable since it would necessitate additional consideration and/or search for the expanded scope. It was agreed to delete "hydrogen," in the R1a choice in claims 1 and 30. It was also noted that claim 23 recites subject matter outside the scope of claim 1 as amended by the previous amendment. It was agreed to cancel claim 23. A subsequent voicemail was left for Mr. Myers regarding claim 11 which is now dependent on a cancelled claim (namely claim 10). It appears that "11" should be made dependent on "1". On 10/22/07 Mr Myers agreed to change "10" to "1" in claim 11.